

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

NANCY JEAN HOLT, derivatively on behalf
of VALUECLICK, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID S. BUZBY; JAMES A.
CROUTHAMEL; JOHN GIULIANI;
MARTIN HART; JAMES R. PETERS;
JEFFREY F. RAYPORT; BRIAN A. SMITH;
JAMES ZARLEY,

Defendants.

DENNIS PALKON, derivatively on behalf of
VALUECLICK, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN GIULIANI, JOHN P. PITSTICK,
PETER WOLFERT, JAMES R.
ZARLEY, MARTIN T. HART, JAMES
A. CROUTHAMEL, JEFREY F. RAYPORT,
JAMES R. PETERS, DAVID S. BUZBY,
VALUECLICK, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-09024-ODW (SHx) - **

~~Case No. 2:13-cv-09024-ODW (SHx)~~

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
REGARDING DEFENDANTS'
TIME TO RESPOND**

**[THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
ALL CASES]**

1 The Court has reviewed the parties' Stipulation Regarding Defendants' Time to
2 Respond. Good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders as follows:

3 1. ~~All deadlines presently outstanding in *Holt v. Buzby*, No. 2:13-cv-09024~~
4 ~~and *Palkon v. Giuliani*, No. 2:13-cv-09148, (collectively, the "ValueClick Cases"),~~
5 ~~including deadlines set by Court Rule, are vacated.~~

6 2. Defendants are not required to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the
7 *Holt* Complaint or *Palkon* Complaint until February 28, 2014.

8 3. This Stipulation is without prejudice to the parties hereto agreeing, subject
9 to Court approval, to a further extension of time regarding any of the deadlines
10 established herein for good cause shown.

11 4. The parties reserve all other rights.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14
15 DATED: January 2, 2014



The Hon. Otis D. Wright II